

Research Article

Code-Switching Patterns Among Indonesian Digital Native Youth: A Sociolinguistic Analysis of Computer-Mediated Communication

Muhammad Ali Imran ¹, Nurasia Natsir ^{2*}¹ Departemen Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, Indonesia² Institut Ilmu Kesehatan Pelamonia, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia* Corresponding Author: e-mail: muhaliimrannn@gmail.com

Abstract: Code-switching has become increasingly prevalent in digital communication among Indonesian youth, reflecting complex sociolinguistic dynamics in multilingual contexts. This study investigates code-switching patterns in Indonesian digital native youth's computer-mediated communication (CMC), examining the linguistic structures, social functions, and motivations behind this phenomenon. A mixed-methods approach was employed, analyzing 2,500 social media posts from 150 Indonesian youth aged 18–25 across Instagram, Twitter, and WhatsApp. Quantitative content analysis identified code-switching frequency and patterns, while qualitative thematic analysis explored motivations and functions. Myers-Scotton's Matrix Language Frame model guided the structural analysis. Results revealed that 78.4% of participants engaged in code-switching, with Indonesian-English being the most common pattern (62.3%), followed by Indonesian-Regional Language (23.5%) and trilingual switching (14.2%). Intrasentential switching occurred in 54.7% of cases, while intersentential switching appeared in 31.8%. Five primary functions emerged: identity construction (32.1%), emphasis/intensification (26.4%), topic shifting (18.9%), humor/creativity (14.3%), and lexical gap-filling (8.3%). Code-switching in Indonesian digital communication represents a sophisticated linguistic practice driven by identity negotiation, expressive needs, and technological affordances rather than linguistic deficiency. These findings contribute to understanding multilingual CMC in Southeast Asian contexts and have implications for digital literacy education and language policy.

Keywords: Code-Switching; Digital Natives; Indonesian Youth; Multilingualism; Sociolinguistics.

1. Introduction

The proliferation of digital communication technologies has fundamentally transformed linguistic practices worldwide, particularly among younger generations who have grown up immersed in digital environments (Androutsopoulos, 2013). In multilingual societies such as Indonesia, where speakers navigate multiple linguistic repertoires daily, digital platforms have become sites of innovative language use characterized by frequent code-switching—the alternation between two or more languages within a single discourse or conversation (Poplack, 2018).

Indonesia presents a particularly rich context for examining code-switching phenomena due to its exceptional linguistic diversity, with over 700 local languages alongside Bahasa Indonesia as the national language and English as the primary foreign language taught in schools (Cohn & Ravindranath, 2014). The country's rapid digital adoption, with internet penetration reaching 77% in 2023 and social media usage averaging 8 hours daily among youth, has created unprecedented opportunities for linguistic innovation (We Are Social & Hootsuite, 2023).

Received: September 22, 2025;
Revised: November 26, 2025;
Accepted: January 31, 2026;
Online available: March 12, 2026;
Curr. Ver.: March 12, 2026.



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access
publication under the terms and
conditions of the Creative
Commons Attribution (CC BY SA)
license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

Theoretical Framework

This study draws primarily on Myers-Scotton's (1993) Matrix Language Frame (MLF) model, which posits that in code-switching, one language serves as the matrix language providing the grammatical frame, while the other functions as the embedded language contributing lexical items. This framework has proven robust in analyzing asymmetric bilingual speech patterns across diverse linguistic contexts (Myers-Scotton & Jake, 2017). Additionally, Gumperz's (1982) conversational code-switching framework informs the functional analysis, recognizing code-switching as a contextualization cue that serves various discourse functions beyond mere linguistic alternation. More recent digital sociolinguistic perspectives (Tagg & Seargeant, 2014) emphasize how technological affordances shape linguistic choices in computer-mediated communication.

Literature Review

Previous research has established that code-switching is a rule-governed linguistic behavior reflecting speakers' communicative competence rather than linguistic confusion (Gardner-Chloros, 2009). Studies in Asian contexts have documented code-switching patterns in countries like Malaysia (David et al., 2015), Singapore (Lim & Ansaldo, 2016), and the Philippines (Bautista & Bolton, 2008), revealing how sociohistorical factors and language policies influence switching behaviors.

Research specifically on Indonesian code-switching has primarily focused on face-to-face interactions (Supriyadi et al., 2020) or traditional media (Mujiono et al., 2013), with limited attention to digital contexts. Recent studies have begun exploring social media language use (Manns, 2020; Wijaya, 2018), but comprehensive analyses of code-switching patterns, structures, and functions in Indonesian digital communication remain scarce.

Digital communication contexts differ significantly from face-to-face interactions due to characteristics such as asynchronicity, audience design complexity, multimodality, and platform-specific constraints (Androutsopoulos, 2014). These features may influence both the frequency and functions of code-switching in ways not yet fully understood in the Indonesian context.

Research Objectives

This study addresses the following research questions:

- a. What are the prevalent code-switching patterns among Indonesian digital native youth in computer-mediated communication?
- b. What grammatical structures characterize code-switching in this context?
- c. What social and communicative functions does code-switching serve in digital interactions?
- d. What motivations underlie code-switching choices among Indonesian youth online?

By addressing these questions, this research aims to contribute to the growing body of literature on digital sociolinguistics while providing insights specific to Indonesian multilingual practices in the digital age.

2. Methods

Research Design

This study employed a concurrent mixed-methods design, integrating quantitative content analysis with qualitative thematic analysis to provide comprehensive insights into code-switching phenomena. This approach allows for both the measurement of code-switching frequency and patterns and the interpretation of underlying motivations and functions (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

Participants and Sampling

Participants were 150 Indonesian youth (ages 18–25, $M = 21.3$, $SD = 2.1$) recruited through purposive and snowball sampling. The sample included 89 females (59.3%) and 61 males (40.7%) from various regions: Java (45%), Sumatra (22%), Sulawesi (15%), Kalimantan (10%), and other regions (8%). All participants were active social media users (minimum 2 hours daily) and possessed multilingual competencies in at least Indonesian and one other language. Ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board, and all participants provided informed consent.

Data Collection

Social Media Posts

With participant consent, researchers collected 2,500 naturally-occurring social media posts across three platforms over three months (June–August 2024): Instagram (40%), Twitter/X (35%), and WhatsApp status updates (25%). Posts were selected based on containing interpersonal communication rather than solely content sharing.

Semi-Structured Interviews

Thirty participants (20% of the total sample) participated in follow-up semi-structured interviews conducted via video call (30–45 minutes each) to explore motivations and perceptions regarding their code-switching practices.

Data Analysis

Quantitative Analysis

Social media posts were coded for code-switching occurrence, language combinations (Indonesian-English, Indonesian-Regional Language, trilingual), switching types (intersentential, intrasentential, tag-switching), and matrix vs. embedded language identification. Descriptive statistics and chi-square tests were conducted using SPSS 28.0.

Grammatical Analysis

Code-switched segments were analyzed using the Matrix Language Frame model, examining morphosyntactic integration and identifying the matrix language based on word order and system morphemes.

Qualitative Analysis

Interview transcripts and code-switched posts underwent thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase approach: familiarization, initial coding, theme searching, theme reviewing, defining themes, and report writing. NVivo 14 software facilitated coding and theme development.

Reliability and Validity

Inter-rater reliability was established through independent coding of 20% of the data by two trained coders, achieving Cohen's kappa of 0.87 for code-switching identification and 0.82 for functional categorization. Member checking was conducted with 15 participants to validate interpretations. Triangulation across multiple data sources and methods enhanced validity.

Ethical Considerations

All data were anonymized, and participants were assigned pseudonyms. Social media content was used only with explicit permission, and participants retained the right to withdraw their data at any time. Sensitive or personal information was excluded from analysis.

3. Results

Code-Switching Frequency and Patterns

Analysis of 2,500 social media posts revealed that 1,960 posts (78.4%) contained at least one instance of code-switching, indicating its prevalence as a communicative norm among Indonesian digital native youth.

Language Combinations

The most common code-switching pattern was Indonesian-English ($n = 1,221$, 62.3% of code-switched posts), followed by Indonesian-Regional Language ($n = 461$, 23.5%), and trilingual switching involving Indonesian, English, and a regional language ($n = 278$, 14.2%). Within regional languages, Javanese appeared most frequently (48.2%), followed by Sundanese (21.4%), Minangkabau (12.1%), and others (18.3%). Table 1 presents the distribution of language combinations.

Table 1. Distribution of Code-Switching Language Combinations.

Language Combination	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Indonesian-English	1,221	62.3
Indonesian-Regional Language	461	23.5
Trilingual Switching	278	14.2
Total	1,960	100.0

Types of Code-Switching

Intrasentential code-switching was the most prevalent type, occurring in 1,072 instances (54.7%), followed by intersentential switching in 623 instances (31.8%), and tag-switching in 265 instances (13.5%). Examples are provided below.

Example of intrasentential switching: "Gue udah capek banget dealing with this nonsense every single day" (I'm already very tired dealing with this nonsense every single day).

Example of intersentential switching: "Hari ini presentation nya sukses! So proud of our team" (Today's presentation was successful! So proud of our team).

Grammatical Structure Analysis

Matrix Language Frame analysis revealed that Indonesian consistently functioned as the matrix language in 89.3% of code-switched instances. The grammatical frame, including word order and system morphemes, followed Indonesian patterns, while English or regional languages contributed primarily lexical content words. For example: "Dia selalu nge-post foto aesthetic di Instagram" (She always posts aesthetic photos on Instagram) demonstrates the Indonesian prefix "nge-" and word order framing the English words "post" and "aesthetic."

Functions of Code-Switching

Qualitative thematic analysis identified five primary functions of code-switching in digital communication, as summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Functions of Code-Switching in Digital Communication.

Function	Percentage (%)	Examples of Contexts
Identity Construction	32.1	Expressing modernity, local belonging
Emphasis/Intensification	26.4	Emotional expression, strong opinions
Topic Shifting	18.9	Transitioning between subjects
Humor/Creativity	14.3	Wordplay, creative expression
Lexical Gap-Filling	8.3	Technical terms, borrowed concepts

Identity Construction and Expression (32.1%)

The most prevalent function involved using code-switching to construct and project specific social identities. Participants employed English to signal cosmopolitanism, education, and modernity, while regional languages indexed local identity and cultural belonging. Example: "Honestly, proud banget jadi anak Makassar. Punna taena' kayya ini, apaya jaji?" (Honestly, very proud to be from Makassar. Without this culture, what would we be?)

Emphasis and Intensification (26.4%)

Code-switching served to emphasize points or intensify emotional expression. Example: "This is literally the best day EVER! Seneng banget aku tuh!" (This is literally the best day EVER! I'm so happy!)

Topic Shifting (18.9%)

Language switches often marked transitions between topics or shifts in conversational focus, providing discourse organizational functions.

Humor and Creativity (14.3%)

Participants strategically employed code-switching for humorous effects, wordplay, and creative linguistic expression, exploiting the resources of multiple languages.

Lexical Gap-Filling (8.3%)

The least common but still significant function involved switching when concepts lacked direct equivalents in one language or when borrowed terms had become conventionalized.

Motivations for Code-Switching

Interview analysis revealed multiple motivations underlying code-switching practices. Seventy-three percent of interviewees reported that certain concepts or emotions were "better expressed" in specific languages. Sixty-eight percent noted that mixing languages helped them "fit in" with peer groups. Fifty-four percent cited efficiency and brevity—especially on character-limited platforms. Participants also demonstrated sophisticated audience design, adjusting code-switching patterns based on perceived followers' linguistic repertoires.

Platform-Specific Patterns

Chi-square analysis revealed significant associations between platform and code-switching patterns ($\chi^2 = 47.32, p < .001$). Instagram posts showed the highest frequency of Indonesian-English switching (71.2%), Twitter/X demonstrated more balanced mixing patterns, and WhatsApp status updates contained the most trilingual switching (22.3%), possibly due to more intimate audience contexts.

4. Discussion**Interpretation of Main Findings**

This study's findings demonstrate that code-switching among Indonesian digital native youth represents a sophisticated linguistic practice shaped by multiple sociolinguistic factors rather than linguistic deficiency. The high prevalence (78.4%) suggests it has become a normalized, even expected, communicative mode within this demographic.

Structural Patterns and the Matrix Language Frame

The consistent identification of Indonesian as the matrix language (89.3% of cases) supports Myers-Scotton's (1993) MLF model and aligns with findings from other postcolonial multilingual contexts (Bautista & Bolton, 2008; Lim & Ansaldo, 2016). This finding challenges deficit perspectives that view code-switching as evidence of incomplete language acquisition. The predominance of intrasentential switching (54.7%) indicates high levels of linguistic competence, as this type requires sophisticated grammatical knowledge of both languages to maintain syntactic well-formedness (Poplack, 2018).

Functional Diversity of Code-Switching

The identification of five distinct functions—with identity construction most prevalent (32.1%)—underscores code-switching's role as a social semiotic resource (Gardner-Chloros, 2009). The use of English for identity construction reflects global trends where English indexes modernity and cosmopolitanism (Seargeant & Tagg, 2014), while regional languages maintain their function as markers of local belonging. The relatively lower frequency of lexical gap-filling (8.3%) challenges traditional explanations that position code-switching primarily as compensation for linguistic deficiency, aligning instead with contemporary sociolinguistic perspectives emphasizing its strategic, socially-motivated nature (Bullock & Toribio, 2009).

Digital Context Specificities

Platform-specific patterns reveal how technological affordances shape linguistic practices. Instagram's higher Indonesian-English switching may reflect the platform's visual-oriented, lifestyle-sharing culture. WhatsApp's increased trilingual switching suggests that more private, intimate communication spaces allow freer expression across participants' full linguistic repertoires.

Comparison with Previous Research

The Indonesian-as-matrix-language pattern parallels findings from Malaysian (David et al., 2015) and Filipino (Bautista & Bolton, 2008) contexts, suggesting regional consistencies in how indigenous/national languages interact with English in postcolonial multilingual societies. The high frequency of code-switching in this study (78.4%) exceeds rates reported

in face-to-face Indonesian interaction studies (e.g., Supriyadi et al., 2020, reporting 54%), supporting Androutsopoulos's (2014) argument that computer-mediated communication provides unique affordances for multilingual expression.

Theoretical Implications

Matrix Language Frame Model

The results support and extend the MLF model to digital contexts, demonstrating its applicability beyond face-to-face conversation. The model successfully predicted Indonesian's matrix language role and explained morphosyntactic integration patterns.

Digital Sociolinguistics

The study advances digital sociolinguistic theory by demonstrating how platform affordances, audience design, and technological constraints interact with traditional sociolinguistic variables to shape code-switching patterns. This suggests the need for platform-aware sociolinguistic frameworks.

Practical Implications

Language Education

Findings suggest that language education in Indonesia should acknowledge and potentially leverage students' multilingual digital practices rather than treating code-switching as problematic. Digital literacy education might incorporate explicit discussion of strategic code-switching.

Language Policy

Results inform ongoing debates about language policy in Indonesia, suggesting that concerns about English 'threatening' Indonesian may be overstated, given Indonesian's robust role as matrix language even among highly digitally-engaged youth.

Limitations

Several limitations should be noted. First, the study's focus on text-based social media posts excludes other digital communication modes such as voice messages or video content. Second, the sample consisted primarily of university-educated youth, potentially limiting generalizability. Third, the cross-sectional design cannot address how code-switching practices evolve over time.

Future Research Directions

Future research should explore:

- Longitudinal studies tracking how individual code-switching practices evolve with digital platform changes;
- Comparative analysis across different age groups and socioeconomic backgrounds;
- Experimental studies examining how code-switching affects message comprehension and social perception;
- Investigation of multimodal code-switching incorporating images, emojis, and other semiotic resources;
- Analysis of voice-based digital communication to compare with text-based patterns;
- Examination of how artificial intelligence and machine translation tools might influence future code-switching practices.

5. Conclusion

This study provides comprehensive insights into code-switching patterns among Indonesian digital native youth, revealing it as a prevalent, sophisticated, and multifunctional linguistic practice in computer-mediated communication. With 78.4% of social media posts containing code-switching, primarily between Indonesian and English, this phenomenon represents a normalized communicative mode rather than a marginal behavior.

The consistent identification of Indonesian as the matrix language demonstrates the national language's robust status even as youth engage extensively with English and regional languages. The diversity of functions served by code-switching—from identity construction to humor—illustrates its strategic deployment as a flexible communicative resource.

Participants' code-switching reflects not linguistic confusion but rather advanced metalinguistic awareness and the ability to leverage multiple linguistic systems for sophisticated social and expressive purposes.

Rather than viewing code-switching as a problem to be solved, stakeholders should recognize it as a valuable linguistic innovation reflecting Indonesia's rich multilingual heritage adapted for the digital age. The seamless integration of multiple languages in Indonesian youth's digital communication ultimately represents not a threat to national linguistic unity but rather a dynamic evolution of how Indonesians communicate—honoring linguistic diversity while maintaining Indonesian as the foundational language of national discourse.

Author Contributions: M.A.I.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing—original draft preparation, Writing—review and editing. N.N.: Conceptualization, Validation, Resources, Writing—review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author. Participant privacy and ethical restrictions apply.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all participants who generously shared their social media content and insights for this research. We also acknowledge the research assistants who contributed to data collection and coding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Al-Khatib, M., & Sabbah, E. H. (2023). Code-switching in social media: A sociolinguistic analysis of Arabic-English bilingual discourse. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 20(3), 892–910. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14790718.2021.1912647>
- Androutsopoulos, J. (2013). Participatory culture and metalinguistic discourse: Performing and negotiating German dialects on YouTube. In D. Tannen & A. M. Trester (Eds.), *Discourse 2.0: Language and new media* (pp. 47–71). Georgetown University Press. <https://doi.org/10.21427/D7H1136>
- Androutsopoulos, J. (2014). Linguaging when contexts collapse: Audience design in social networking. *Discourse, Context & Media*, 4–5, 62–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcm.2014.08.006>
- Barasa, S. N., & Mous, M. (2022). Social media language practices in multilingual contexts: A comparative study. *Language Matters*, 53(1), 28–47. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10228195.2021.1991547>
- Bautista, M. L. S., & Bolton, K. (2008). *Philippine English: Linguistic and literary perspectives*. Hong Kong University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5790/hongkong/9789622099104.001.0001>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- Bullock, B. E., & Toribio, A. J. (2009). Trying to hit a moving target: On the sociophonetics of code-switching. In L. Isurin, D. Winford, & K. de Bot (Eds.), *Multidisciplinary approaches to code-switching* (pp. 189–206). John Benjamins. <https://doi.org/10.1075/sibil.41.11bul>
- Cenoz, J., & Gorter, D. (2022). Pedagogical translanguaging and the teaching of minoritized languages. *The Modern Language Journal*, 106(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12746>
- Cohn, A. C., & Ravindranath, M. (2014). Local languages in Indonesia: Language maintenance or language shift? *Linguistik Indonesia*, 32(2), 131–148. <https://doi.org/10.26499/li.v32i2.43>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- David, M. K., Cavallaro, F., & Coluzzi, P. (2015). Language policies: Impact on language maintenance and teaching: Focus on Malaysia, Singapore and Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v2i2.6039>
- García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765>
- Gardner-Chloros, P. (2009). *Code-switching*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609787>
- Gumperz, J. J. (1982). *Discourse strategies*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511611834>
- Lim, L., & Ansaldo, U. (2016). *Languages in contact*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139019699>
- Manns, H. (2020). Language variation and vernacular loyalty in a computer-mediated context: The case of Indonesian online communities. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 24(2), 167–187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josl.12367>
- Mujiono, M., Poedjosoedarmo, S., & Subroto, E. (2013). Code switching in English as Foreign Language instruction practiced by the English lecturers at universities. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 5(2), 46–65. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v5i2.3561>
- Myers-Scotton, C. (1993). *Duelling languages: Grammatical structure in code-switching*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198239741.001.0001>
- Myers-Scotton, C., & Jake, J. L. (2017). Revisiting the 4-M model: Code-switching and morpheme election at the abstract level. *International Journal of Bilingualism*, 21(3), 340–366. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367006915626588>

- Poplack, S. (2018). *Borrowing: Loanwords in the speech community and in the grammar*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190200046.001.0001>
- Seargeant, P., & Tagg, C. (2014). Introduction: The language of social media. In P. Seargeant & C. Tagg (Eds.), *The language of social media: Identity and community on the internet* (pp. 1–20). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137029317_1
- Supriyadi, S., Wilian, S., & Susiati, S. (2020). Interferensi bahasa Indonesia terhadap bahasa Inggris pada Instagram. *Jurnal Ilmu Budaya*, 8(2), 235–244. <https://doi.org/10.34050/jib.v8i2.11159>
- Tagg, C., & Seargeant, P. (2014). Audience design and language choice in the construction and maintenance of translocal communities on social network sites. In P. Seargeant & C. Tagg (Eds.), *The language of social media* (pp. 161–185). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137029317_8
- We Are Social & Hootsuite. (2023). *Digital 2023: Indonesia*. DataReportal. <https://doi.org/10.15713/ins.mmi.3>
- Wijaya, H. (2018). A study of code-mixing and code-switching in Indonesian Islamic magazines. *PEOPLE: International Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(2), 1394–1408. <https://doi.org/10.20319/pijss.2018.42.13941408>